

7-9 May 2019

School: Paimion lukic Name: (optional) Lenni Vallatie

- 1. How well was your trip organized?

 Logistics (travel, food, hostel, refreshments, etc.)

 Were wonderful
- 2. What is your overall impression of MAXIV? the facility? the staff?
 AVERALL the Eacility was very impressive, and
 the staff were wonderful and kind people.
- 3. What's your impression on the overall program layout and content?

 The lettures were a bit too much in-depth,
 but overall the program was very useful
- 4. Have you learned anything new?

 I have learned very many new things

 and it has also given me more questions.
- 5. What changes or suggestions could you make to benefit students visiting in the future?

 Perhaps give them some home reading beforehand.

- 6. In particular: please comment on how useful did you find the different parts:
 - The different presentations, longer and shorter, before and after the visit to the experimental hall?

Safety, program, Introduction to MAX IV, X-ray –matter interaction overview - Ana Labrador MAX IV: Why, What, How and more, Build a beamline - Yngve Cerenius Photoluminescence, - Kirill Chernenko SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES - Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan

7-9 May 2019

- Quite useful, they gave the basic idea or what this facility is about

• The short visits to the beamlines with the beamline scientists?

Overall experimental hall, Ana, CoSAXS,-Tomas Plivelic, SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES -Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan.

Very eascinating,

• The more detailed visit to the beamline(s) and LP3?

FemtoMAX beamline on the "Open day" (7th May), Beamline staff

SPECIES commissioning (8th and 9th May), Niclas V Johansson and Esko Kokkonen
FinEstBeAMS practical demonstrations (9th May), Kirill Chernenko

LP3 Crystallisation facility (9th May), Wolfgang Knecht and Maria

 The science cases exercise to illustrate the *proposal* process to apply for beamtime to use MAX IV. (Gunnar Öhrwall)

7-9 May 2019

School:	Painio
Name: (d	optional)

1.	1. How well was your trip organized?			
	Logistics (travel, food, hostel, refreshments, etc.)			
	I think everything was organized very	well.		
	The quest house was Good for US.			
2	What is your overall impression of MAX IV? the facility? the staff?			

What is your overall impression of MAX IV? the facility? the staff?

I'm very impressed. Stage was very professioonal, People in

University was very social and nice.

3. What's your impression on the overall program layout and content?
It was very well planned and lecturers open things
very well and lectures were prosessional.

4. Have you learned anything new?

Yes many new things. Also audience asking questions makes learning on the next level.

5. What changes or suggestions could you make to benefit students visiting in the future?

I don't have any suggestions. Maybe better marketing and advertishes.

- 6. In particular: please comment on how useful did you find the different parts:
 - The different presentations, longer and shorter, before and after the visit to the experimental hall?

Safety, program, Introduction to MAX IV, X-ray –matter interaction overview - Ana Labrador MAX IV: Why, What, How and more, Build a beamline - Yngve Cerenius Photoluminescence, - Kirill Chernenko

SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES - Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan

All work very useful

• The short visits to the beamlines with the beamline scientists?

Overall experimental hall, Ana, CoSAXS,-Tomas Plivelic, SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES -Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan.

• The more detailed visit to the beamline(s) and LP3?

Usigul

FemtoMAX beamline on the "Open day" (7th May), Beamline staff
SPECIES commissioning (8th and 9th May), Niclas V Johansson and Esko Kokkonen
FinEstBeAMS practical demonstrations (9th May), Kirill Chernenko
LP3 Crystallisation facility (9th May), Wolfgang Knecht and Maria

 The science cases exercise to illustrate the *proposal* process to apply for beamtime to use MAX IV. (Gunnar Öhrwall)

It'was very concreting and visualising. We can also do something ourselves



7-9 May 2019

School: Pai mio
Name: (optional)

- 1. How well was your trip organized?

 Logistics (travel, food, hostel, refreshments, etc.)

 Very well. Everything worked good and we didn't have

 any problems
- 2. What is your overall impression of MAX IV? the facility? the staff?
 Impressive research facility. The staff was very friendly and staff was
- 3. What's your impression on the overall program layout and content?

 Very Complex frogram and layout and machinery
- 4. Have you learned anything new?

 YES I have learned much about the general proses

 that is used for research and studiyng
- 5. What changes or suggestions could you make to benefit students visiting in the future?

 Mayba see more of the actual work

 If it is possible for the workers here

- 6. In particular: please comment on how useful did you find the different parts:
 - The different presentations, longer and shorter, before and after the visit to the experimental hall?

Safety, program, Introduction to MAX IV, X-ray —matter interaction overview - Ana Labrador MAX IV: Why, What, How and more, Build a beamline - Yngve Cerenius Photoluminescence, - Kirill Chernenko

SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES - Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan

I think the safety was usefull and the basic introduction to the facility was really usefull

1/2

The short visits to the beamlines with the beamline scientists?

Overall experimental hall, Ana, CoSAXS,-Tomas Plivelic, SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES -Noelle Walsh,

BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan.

I think its interesting to see the different kinds of beam lines and it think the short visit added to the theory and principales that we saw in the introduction

The more detailed visit to the beamline(s) and LP3?

FemtoMAX beamline on the "Open day" (7th May), Beamline staff SPECIES commissioning (8th and 9th May), Niclas V Johansson and Esko Kokkonen FinEstBeAMS practical demonstrations (9th May), Kirill Chernenko LP3 Crystallisation facility (9th May), Wolfgang Knecht and Maria

The science cases exercise to illustrate the *proposal* process to apply for beamtime to use MAX IV. (Gunnar Öhrwall)

I think it was good to see the process but not that interesting

7-9 May 2019

School: Paimio Name: (optional)

- 1. How well was your trip organized?

 Logistics (travel, food, hostel, refreshments, etc.)

 Travelling was quite easy and nice. Guest house was very cozy and neat.
- 2. What is your overall impression of MAX IV? the facility? the staff?

 The staff was friendly and welcoming. I'm impressed about this whole place.
- 3. What's your impression on the overall program layout and content?

 Content was really interesting but partly hard to understand.
- 4. Have you learned anything new?

 I have learned lots of new things and I have deepen myknowledge abot physics.
- 5. What changes or suggestions could you make to benefit students visiting in the future? Schedules don't have to be full all the time so it's okay to have breaks here and there.

- 6. In particular: please comment on how useful did you find the different parts:
 - The different presentations, longer and shorter, before and after the visit to the experimental hall?

Safety, program, Introduction to MAX IV, X-ray –matter interaction overview - Ana Labrador MAX IV: Why, What, How and more, Build a beamline - Yngve Cerenius Photoluminescence, - Kirill Chernenko SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES - Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan

Very useful

• The short visits to the beamlines with the beamline scientists?

Overall experimental hall, Ana, CoSAXS,-Tomas Plivelic, SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES -Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan.

They explained things well and briefly. It was good.

• The more detailed visit to the beamline(s) and LP3?

FemtoMAX beamline on the "Open day" (7th May), Beamline staff

SPECIES commissioning (8th and 9th May), Niclas V Johansson and Esko Kokkonen

FinEstBeAMS practical demonstrations (9th May), Kirill Chernenko

LP3 Crystallisation facility (9th May), Wolfgang Knecht and Maria

• The science cases exercise to illustrate the *proposal* process to apply for beamtime to use MAX IV. (Gunnar Öhrwall)

one of the most interesting thing.

7-9 May 2019

School: Palmio Name: (optional)

1. How well was your trip organized?

Logistics (travel, food, hostel, refreshments, etc.)

I get enough coffee so everything was well.

2. What is your overall impression of MAX IV? the facility? the staff?

Nice machine puolen miljardin puutarhaletku

- 3. What's your impression on the overall program layout and content?
- 4. Have you learned anything new? Wes and now have only more guestions
- 5. What changes or suggestions could you make to benefit students visiting in the future?

- 6. In particular: please comment on how useful did you find the different parts:
 - The different presentations, longer and shorter, before and after the visit to the experimental hall?

Safety, program, Introduction to MAX IV, X-ray -matter interaction overview - Ana Labrador MAX IV: Why, What, How and more, Build a beamline - Yngve Cerenius Photoluminescence, - Kirill Chernenko SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES - Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan

7-9 May 2019

The short visits to the beamlines with the beamline scientists?
 Overall experimental hall, Ana, CoSAXS,-Tomas Plivelic, SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES -Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan.

• The more detailed visit to the beamline(s) and LP3?

FemtoMAX beamline on the "Open day" (7th May), Beamline staff

SPECIES commissioning (8th and 9th May), Niclas V Johansson and Esko Kokkonen

FinEstBeAMS practical demonstrations (9th May), Kirill Chernenko

LP3 Crystallisation facility (9th May), Wolfgang Knecht and Maria

• The science cases exercise to illustrate the *proposal* process to apply for beamtime to use MAX IV. (Gunnar Öhrwall)

7-9 May 2019

School:	Paimon	Lihio

Name: (optional)

How well was your trip organized?
 Logistics (travel, food, hostel, refreshments, etc.)

Very well i

2. What is your overall impression of MAX IV? the facility? the staff?

I'm very impressed

3. What's your impression on the overall program layout and content?

Aland Ast Very mice

4. Have you learned anything new?

Mes I have !

5. What changes or suggestions could you make to benefit students visiting in the future?

Everything is good already

- 6. In particular: please comment on how useful did you find the different parts:
 - The different presentations, longer and shorter, before and after the visit to the experimental hall?

Safety, program, Introduction to MAX IV, X-ray —matter interaction overview - Ana Labrador MAX IV: Why, What, How and more, Build a beamline - Yngve Cerenius Photoluminescence, - Kirill Chernenko
SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES - Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan

All good

• The short visits to the beamlines with the beamline scientists?

Overall experimental hall, Ana, CoSAXS,-Tomas Plivelic, SoftiMAX - Jörg Schwenke, FlexPES -Noelle Walsh, BOLCH - Balu Thiagarajan.

Very interesting

• The more detailed visit to the beamline(s) and LP3?

FemtoMAX beamline on the "Open day" (7th May), Beamline staff

SPECIES commissioning (8th and 9th May), Niclas V Johansson and Esko Kokkonen

FinEstBeAMS practical demonstrations (9th May), Kirill Chernenko

LP3 Crystallisation facility (9th May), Wolfgang Knecht and Maria

Very much interesting

• The science cases exercise to illustrate the *proposal* process to apply for beamtime to use MAX IV. (Gunnar Öhrwall)

Such wow !