



User statistics harmonization: where do we come from where are we, where would we like to go ?





We all produce tons of user statistics for every year/semester/call

- by single facility
- by associations (e.g. Helmholtz)
- by funded project (e.g. for CALIPSOplus reporting)
- etc...
- We might have different target audiences
- We sometimes have *different* definitions
- \rightarrow When we merge *different* data sources, results loose coherence (and full meaning)





Where do we come from ?

Where are we ?



- Example: counting users
- PanData 2014 vs PanData 2016 user survey
- Counting via hashes vs publications/citations

"We encountered a few interesting experiences while harvesting information from various different sources."

Total number of hashes:	55070	Photons:	40712	Neutrons:	14358
Total number of unique users:	41665		32257	Neutrons:	11976
Example: counting beamlines Result				beamlines	
 wayforlight: 271 but also endstations counted as beamlines ? 					
 LEAPS operation 	al beamlines cour	ounted only a	15	More	
independent bran				than 220 operational Beamlines	
C. Blasetti				Deamines	3



Where are we ?



CALIPSOplus NA1 – deliverable 2.9 Integrated user statistics overview

Definition of a common set of data to realise solid user statistics (m34=Feb 2020)

- ✓ to support policy developments and science case for an African SR
- \checkmark to be discussed within EUOs and ESUO
- ✓ links with LEAPS WG5 "Integration and Impact"

 Over 24 000 direct users in 93 countries

- Lightsources.org effort starts from LEAPS preliminary data
- CALIPSOPlus MGT & TNA: Discipline/User in sum/EU-user and thereof user from EU13 countries/Non-EU user





LEAPS WG5 "User services and Impact" effort:

 Aim #3: to standardise performance and reporting metrics across all facilities involved.

"To **standardise** performance metrics across all facilities involved into a centralised reporting system, capturing key performance indicators such as number of unique academic users/year, hours of facility use (beamtime), amount of data generated, number of publications and size of industrial community. A detailed **bibliometric analysis** on all persistent scientific research publication in at least article format will also be developed to report on quality, productivity, collaboration and core specialisation areas."

C. Blasetti



Where do we want to go ?



Possible parameters to start from:

- "operational beamline" → a BL to which user access can be requested in the next allocation period ?
- "user / active user" \rightarrow over which time span ?
- "user beamtime hours" → always excludes in-house?

• Any other suggestion ?





Thank you for your attention !

Time for questions & open discussion